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Oil sands

- Canada is home to largest known natural bitumen reserves ~ 400 billion cubic meters (NEB 2005).
- These resources are concentrated in three regions – Athabasca, Cold Lake and Peace River in province of Alberta.
- Oil sands are strategic resource to North American economy.

Canada’s renewable agriculture and forestry resources

- Canada is also blessed with large quantity of renewable biomass resources. Among all G20 countries, Canada ranks 2nd in terms of per capita forestry area and one among the highest in terms of per capita agriculture production. (Layzell, 2010)
Motivation

- Explore the possibility of sustainable co-utilization of renewable biomass resources in oil-sands operations to reduce the GHG emission intensity of HC products and to facilitate environmentally and socially responsible development of natural resources.
Proposed strategy for biomass co-processing in oil sands industry

**Haul & Densification**

- Raw Biomass
  - Torrefaction
  - Pyrolysis

**District level collection**

- Pelletization
  - Blending / primary upgrading
  - Bio-oil upgrading (secondary)
  - Activated carbon for waste water treatment

**Bitumen upgrader**

- Combustion
  - Process heat
  - Gasification / syngas
  - Reforming / WSTC*
  - Bio-oil upgrading (secondary)
  - O < 5-7%

*water splitting thermo-chemical cycle
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Identification of local biomass resources

- Can Alberta’s resources supply enough biomass in a sustainable manner to help oil-sands upgrading operations to reduce their environmental impact?
- How much energy will it require to haul biomass to upgraders?
A district wide biomass inventory in Alberta

Fort McMurray

Levelton and Envirochem, 2008

Total: (million bdt/year)

Agriculture: ~ 20

Wood: ~ 7

Municipal Waste: ~ 1.3

Prairie &

10 provincial Districts
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Biomass hauling pathways

1-Stage pathway - circular geometry

Haul biomass from each circular field to centralized processing

BIMAT* and Kumar et al., 2003 used circular geometry approach (based on Overend, 1982)

*Biomass Inventory mapping and analysis tool
Prevalent biomass hauling pathways continued...

2-Stage pathway – square geometry

1. haul solid biomass to centre of many square fields for pyrolysis
2. haul liquid bio-oil to a central facility for final processing:

Pathway often studied but only once as a square grid by Wright et al. (2008)
Proposed 3-stage biomass hauling

A sample district composed of square harvesting fields

stage 1: Raw biomass (solid)
stage 2: Bio-oil (liquid)
stage 3: Blended / upgraded bio-oil to bitumen upgrader (liquid)

\[ D_{Total} = D_{Biomass\rightarrow Pyrolyser} + D_{Bio-oil\rightarrow DistrictCentre} + D_{Bio-oil,district\rightarrow Upgrader} \]

P: Biomass pre-treatment through pyrolysis
B: Bio-oil blending or primary upgrading at district level
Model for stage 1 hauling

The total haul derived using rectangular geometry (Jenkins, 1997):

\[
D(km.y^{-1}) = 4\pi n \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (ix + jy)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \tau \frac{Q}{w} (X + Y) \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)
\]

For a square harvest field: \(X=Y\) and \(x = y\); Thus for all the grids, in a district, the total annual one way hauling of solid biomass in a district can be given by

\[
D_{\text{biomass,district,k}}(km.y^{-1}) = 44.497.\tau_{\text{biomass,grid}} \sqrt{A_{\text{district,k}} Q_{\text{biomass,district,k}} C_{\text{pyrolyser,k}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_{\text{biomass,grid}}}\right)
\]

Where number of sub regions, in each grid for hauling solid biomass is given by \(m = X/x = Y/y\)
Model for stage 2 hauling

\[
D_{\text{bio-oil,district,k}} (\text{km.y}^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau_{\text{bio-oil,district}} \frac{Q_{\text{biomass,district,k}} \sqrt{A_{\text{district,k}}}}{w_{\text{bio-oil}}} \left(1 - 178 \sqrt{\frac{C_{\text{pyrolyser,k}}}{Q_{\text{biomass,district,k}}}}\right)
\]

Total diesel consumed for hauling biomass and bio-oil in stage 1 and 2.

\[
F_{\text{biomass+bio-oil,district,k}} (\text{l.y}^{-1}) = \beta_{\text{biomass}} (1 + \gamma_{\text{biomass}}) D_{\text{biomass,district,k}} + \beta_{\text{bio-oil}} (1 + \gamma_{\text{bio-oil}}) D_{\text{bio-oil,district,k}}
\]

\(\alpha\) : Biomass \(\rightarrow\) Bio-oil Conversion factor (\(w/w\))

\(\beta\) : Average diesel consumption for hauling biomass (\(l.t^{-1}.km^{-1}\))

\(\gamma\) : Fraction of fuel consumed for return journey of empty truck/tanker
Stage-3 hauling

Diesel consumed in stage-3 is given by

\[ F_{bio-oil,district,k->upgrader}(l.y^{-1}) = \beta_{bio-oil}(1 + \gamma_{bio-oil})D_{bio-oil,district,k->upgrader} \]

Here \( D_{bio-oil,district,k->upgrader} \) is the actual road distance of an upgrader from an assigned centre in \( k^{th} \) district. This distance was estimated using fastest route through Google map.
Preliminary results

- Two case scenarios:
  - Haul bio-oil to Fort McMurray
  - Haul the bio-oil to Scotford
- Total bio-oil produced in the province varies from 8,000 (for slow pyrolysis) to 14,000 million litre (for fast pyrolysis).
- Required no. of pyrolysers @ 2 t.h\(^{-1}\) vary from 6 in Waterways to about 750 in Prairie.
Preliminary Results continued...

Ratio of diesel consumed to hauled bio-oil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Fort McMurray</th>
<th>Scotford</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At pyrolyser</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At district centre</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At upgrader</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Litres of Diesel consumed per 1000 litre of bio-oil produced or delivered.
Preliminary Results continued...

Ratio of hauled bio-oil to diesel consumed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Bio-oil Delivered (v/v)</th>
<th>Diesel Consumed (v/v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At pyrolyser</td>
<td>3474</td>
<td>3474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the district centre</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the upgrader</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At Fort McMurray

At Scotford
Ratio of hauled diesel consumed to bio-oil hauled for each district

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Districts</th>
<th>Stage 1</th>
<th>Stage 3</th>
<th>Stage 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterways</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac La Biche</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesser Slave</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodlands</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foothills</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoky</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peace</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Rockies</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Hay</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

- A 3-stage biomass densification and hauling methodology has been proposed by
  - Splitting solid and liquid hauls
  - Applying square grid method for stage 1 and 2 and using geometric tool e.g. Google Map to calculate actual haulage for 3rd stage.

- Model parameters need to be refined to reflect the local conditions at each district as well at harvest region, e.g. size of pyrolyser for each district $C_{pyrolyser}$, $k$, the efficiency of diesel consumption of trucks and tankers $\beta$, tortuosity factors ($\tau$) for forestry and agriculture.
Conclusions

- The model will evaluate the overall parasitic GHG emissions caused by hauling of biomass to upgraders.
- The methodology will be used to optimize the output for multiple scenarios:
  - What will be impact of moisture content*, especially in the 1st stage of hauling?
  - What if we choose more than one upgrader of preference?
  - What degree of primary upgrading of bio-oil at the pyrolysis unit or at the district level is needed?

*In the present study only oven dry biomass has been used.
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Questions and Comments
Supplementary slides
Current operating up-grader in Alberta

- In 2010, Oil-sands patch has 5 operating up-graders

Total renewable biomass available in Alberta is equivalent to 18% of total bitumen processing capacity (in terms of energy equivalence)

Numbers do not include process efficiency/energy loss

Source: www.energy.alberta.ca
Do Biomass Estimation Methods Reflect Actual Industry Production Methods?

Circular versus Square Fields?

- Many bioenergy studies minimize haul by harvesting biomass that grows over a circular or a square field and by processing it at the center of the circle or square.

- Production of biomass on many circular fields simultaneously suffers one drawback:
  - Biomass that lies between the circles will likely remain unused within the life of the investment.
Circular versus Square Fields?

- Thus this study assumes that biomass is harvested over many square areas in order to ensure complete utilization of resources available over an entire province while avoiding under- or over-estimation.
# Stage-3 hauling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Central Town</th>
<th>Postal Code</th>
<th>Distance to upgrader (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scotford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterways</td>
<td>Fort McMurray</td>
<td>T9H 1L2</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac La Biche</td>
<td>Lac La Biche</td>
<td>T0A 2C0</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesser Slave</td>
<td>Webasca Desmarais</td>
<td>T0G 2K0</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodlands</td>
<td>Swan Hills</td>
<td>T0G 2C0</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foothills</td>
<td>Edson</td>
<td>T7E 1N7</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoky</td>
<td>Grande Prairies</td>
<td>T8V 0R7</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peace</td>
<td>Manning</td>
<td>T0H 2M0</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Rockies</td>
<td>High River</td>
<td>T1V 1N5</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Hay</td>
<td>High Level</td>
<td>T0H 1Z0</td>
<td>796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairies*</td>
<td>Grand Prairie</td>
<td>T8V 0R7</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Prairies has been assumed to be equivalent to 11th district and has kept at the same distance as Grande Prairies*