Engineering Conferences International ECI Digital Archives

CO2 Summit II: Technologies and Opportunities

Proceedings

Spring 4-11-2016

Optimal Geothermal Heat Extraction using CO2

Iti Patel The Ohio State University, patel.1166@osu.edu

Jeffrey Bielicki The Ohio State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://dc.engconfintl.org/co2_summit2 Part of the <u>Environmental Engineering Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

(1) Brown, D. a Hot Dry Rock Geothermal Energy Concept Utilizing Supercritical Co2 Instead of Water. Twenty-Fifth Work. Geotherm. Resevoir Eng. 2000, 1995 (April 1992), 1–6. (2) Adams, B. M.; Kuehn, T. H.; Bielicki, J. M.; Randolph, J. B.; Saar, M. O. A comparison of electric power output of CO2 Plume Geothermal (CPG) and brine geothermal systems for varying reservoir conditions. Appl. Energy 2015, 140, 365–377. (3) Rybach, L. Geothermal Sustainability. Ghc Bull. 2007, No. September, 1–7. (4) Axelsson, G.; Stefansson, V. Sustainable Management of Geothermal Resources. Int. Geotherm. Conf. Reykjavík 2003, 40–48. (5) Bonfil, R. Fishery Stock Assessment Models and their Application to Sharks. In Management Techniques for Elasmobranch Fisheries; 2005; pp 154–181.

This Abstract is brought to you for free and open access by the Proceedings at ECI Digital Archives. It has been accepted for inclusion in CO2 Summit II: Technologies and Opportunities by an authorized administrator of ECI Digital Archives. For more information, please contact franco@bepress.com.

OPTIMAL GEOTHERMAL HEAT EXTRACTION USING CO2

Iti H. Patel, The Ohio State University Patel.1166@osu.edu Jeffrey Bielicki, The Ohio State University

Key Words: Geothermal, extraction, optimization, natural resource economics, reservoir simulations

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) capture and storage (CCS) systems alleviate global climate change through the subsurface storage of CO₂ emission. This CCS technology can be costly, but CO₂ Capture, *Utilization*, and Storage (CCUS) approaches can decrease the cost of CCS, because sequestered CO₂ is used to produce an economically viable commodity. In one CCUS application, CO₂ that is sequestered in sedimentary basins during the CCS process can be used to extract geothermal heat that can then be used to generate a profit^{1,2}. These CO₂-geothermal systems rely on the temperature of the reservoir—and thus the temperature of the heat extracted from the reservoir exceeds the rate at which the natural geothermal heat flux increases the temperature. Sustainability in this context is often synonymous with extracting heat at a rate that does not deplete the temperature in the reservoir^{3,4}. This perspective of sustainability focuses on the physical/environmental performance of the geothermal reservoir, but keeping heat in the reservoir may not be economically sustainable. As such, environmental and economic performance are interconnected, and CCUS systems must consider both of these metrics of sustainability.

We present a natural resource economics model for the optimal management of a geothermal resource using CO₂ as a heat extraction fluid. Natural resource economic approaches have been extensively studied for managing fisheries and forests^{5,6}, but no such models exist for the management of geothermal resources. Our model determines the optimal time-varying mass flowrate to extract heat, given the profit that can be made and the natural rate at which the reservoir temperature renews. We used the Non-isothermal Unsaturated- saturated Flow and Transport (NUFT) code to simulate a sedimentary basin geothermal reservoir under a variety of geologic conditions, such as reservoir depth, reservoir thickness, temperature gradient, permeability, and mass flowrate. Results suggest that the time-varying mass flowrate is sensitive to economic parameters, such as discount rate. For example, the mass flowrate will be small when the discount rate is small so that heat remains in the reservoir into the future. In contrast, the mass flowrate will be large when the discount rate is large because heat left in the reservoir in the future has little value in present terms.

This project was funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation Sustainable Energy Pathways program (Grant 1230691).

References

- (1) Brown, D. a Hot Dry Rock Geothermal Energy Concept Utilizing Supercritical Co2 Instead of Water. *Twenty-Fifth Work. Geotherm. Resevoir Eng.* **2000**, *1995* (April 1992), 1–6.
- (2) Adams, B. M.; Kuehn, T. H.; Bielicki, J. M.; Randolph, J. B.; Saar, M. O. A comparison of electric power output of CO2 Plume Geothermal (CPG) and brine geothermal systems for varying reservoir conditions. *Appl. Energy* **2015**, *140*, 365–377.
- (3) Rybach, L. Geothermal Sustainability. *Ghc Bull.* **2007**, No. September, 1–7.
- (4) Axelsson, G.; Stefansson, V. Sustainable Management of Geothermal Resources. *Int. Geotherm. Conf. Reykjavík* **2003**, 40–48.
- (5) Bonfil, R. Fishery Stock Assessment Models and their Application to Sharks. In *Management Techniques for Elasmobranch Fisheries*; 2005; pp 154–181.

(6) Harris, J.; Roach, B. Environmental and Natural Resources Economics: A Contemporary Approach. In *Third Edition*; M.E. Sharpe, Inc., 2013; pp 314–349.