

Spring 4-11-2016

The production of water from saline aquifers through carbon dioxide capture and storage operations

Kelsey Hunter

The Ohio State University, hunter.522@osu.edu

Jeffrey Bielicki

The Ohio State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://dc.engconfintl.org/co2_summit2

 Part of the [Environmental Engineering Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

[1] Buscheck, T. A., Sun, Y., Chen, M., Hao, Y., Wolery, T. J., Bourcier, W. L., ... Aines, R. D. (2012). Active CO₂ reservoir management for carbon storage: Analysis of operational strategies to relieve pressure buildup and improve injectivity. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 6(May), 230–245. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2011.11.007> [2] NETL. Carbon Dioxide Enhanced Oil Recovery: Untapped Domestic Energy Supply and Long Term Carbon Storage Solution; 2010. [3] Ziemkiewicz, P.; Stauffer, P.; Sullivan-Graham, J.; Chu, S.; Bourcier, W. L.; Buscheck, T. A.; Carr, T.; Donovan, J.; Jiao, Z.; Lin, L.; et al. Opportunities for increasing CO₂ storage in deep saline aquifers by active reservoir management and treatment of extracted water: Case study at the GreenGen IGCC facility, Tianjin, PR China. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 2015, submitted. [4] Kenny, J. F., Barber, N. L., Hutson, S. S., Linsey, K. S., Lovelace, J. K., & Maupin, M. a. (2009). Estimated Use of Water in the United States in 2005 Circular 1344. *Water* (Vol. 1344). Retrieved from <http://hbg.psu.edu/etc/Newsletter/doc/October2009.pdf> [5] Bielicki, J. M., Peters, C. A., Fitts, J. P., & Wilson, E. J. (2015). An examination of geologic carbon sequestration policies in the context of leakage potential. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 37, 61–75. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.02.023>

THE PRODUCTION OF WATER FROM SALINE AQUIFERS THROUGH CARBON DIOXIDE CAPTURE AND STORAGE OPERATIONS

Kelsey Hunter, The Ohio State University
hunter.522@osu.edu
Jeffrey Bielicki, Ph.D., The Ohio State University

Key Words: CO₂ Capture Utilization and Storage, Enhanced Water Recovery

The gap between an energy sector that relies on fossil fuels and one that relies on renewable resources may be bridged by systems that conserve resources, use closed-looped processes, and reduce carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions. CO₂ capture and storage (CCS) technology may reduce CO₂ emissions by injecting CO₂ captured from power plants into deep saline aquifers for sequestration, but these systems have additional water and energy requirements that may impede deployment due to regional water stress and increased energy costs. CO₂ capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) operations that use Active CO₂ Reservoir Management (ACRM) can manage pressure and provide additional CO₂ storage capacity by producing brine from reservoirs through enhanced water recovery (CO₂-EWR) [1,2]. Produced brine can be treated by desalination for beneficial use and provide a source of water that could partially or fully offset water requirements by facilitating a closed-loop water source for CCUS with the potential to produce additional water for power plant cooling or other societal needs [3]. Further, the energy of this pressurized brine can be used during the reverse osmosis process to substantially reduce the treatment costs and make CO₂-EWR a feasible CO₂ emission reduction technology.

We investigated the viability of CO₂-EWR to reduce CO₂ emissions and offset water requirements from thermoelectric power plants in Michigan and Colorado. Both states have extensive histories of oil and natural gas production and thus subsurface geological and produced water salinity data are available. These states were also chosen because of their sufficiently high CO₂ storage capacity in subsurface aquifers [5]. Further, the level of water stress differs between the states; Michigan is a water-rich state, whereas Colorado is more arid. Existing thermoelectric power plants from the Environmental Protection Agency's Emissions and Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGrid) were mapped in relation to saline aquifer formations from the National Energy Technology Laboratory's National Carbon Sequestration Database and Geographic Information System (NATCARB) data. Using salinity data for produced brine from NATCARB and the United States Geologic Survey National Produced Waters database, CO₂ injection sites near thermoelectric power plants were investigated to determine viable saline aquifers with ideal brine salinity levels for CO₂ capture and produced water treatment. We found that CO₂-EWR can be viable in each state, but that the value of producing water in Colorado was higher than in Michigan.

This project is funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation Sustainable Energy Pathways program (Grant 1230691).

References:

- [1] Buscheck, T. A., Sun, Y., Chen, M., Hao, Y., Wolery, T. J., Bourcier, W. L., ... Aines, R. D. (2012). Active CO₂ reservoir management for carbon storage: Analysis of operational strategies to relieve pressure buildup and improve injectivity. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 6(May), 230–245. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2011.11.007>
- [2] NETL. Carbon Dioxide Enhanced Oil Recovery: Untapped Domestic Energy Supply and Long Term Carbon Storage Solution; 2010.
- [3] Ziemkiewicz, P.; Stauffer, P.; Sullivan-Graham, J.; Chu, S.; Bourcier, W. L.; Buscheck, T. A.; Carr, T.; Donovan, J.; Jiao, Z.; Lin, L.; et al. Opportunities for increasing CO₂ storage in deep saline aquifers by active reservoir management and treatment of extracted water: Case study at the GreenGen IGCC facility, Tianjin, PR China. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 2015, submitted.
- [4] Kenny, J. F., Barber, N. L., Hutson, S. S., Linsey, K. S., Lovelace, J. K., & Maupin, M. a. (2009). Estimated Use of Water in the United States in 2005 Circular 1344. *Water* (Vol. 1344). Retrieved from <http://hbg.psu.edu/etc/Newsletter/doc/October2009.pdf>
- [5] Bielicki, J. M., Peters, C. A., Fitts, J. P., & Wilson, E. J. (2015). An examination of geologic carbon sequestration policies in the context of leakage potential. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 37, 61–75. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.02.023>